	Case 2:23-cv-02952-TLN-KJN Docume	ent 2 Filed 01/18/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:23-cv-02941-TLN-KJN
12		No. 2:23-cv-02942-TLN-KJN
13		No. 2:23-cv-02944-TLN-KJN
14		No. 2:23-cv-02952-TLN-KJN
15		No. 2:23-cv-02953-TLN-KJN
16		No. 2:23-cv-02954-TLN-KJN
17		No. 2:23-cv-02955-TLN-KJN
18		No. 2:23-cv-02956-TLN-KJN
19		No. 2:23-cv-02988-TLN-KJN
20		No. 2:24-cv-00027-TLN-KJN
21		ORDER
22		
23	-	J
24	Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the	
25	above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious	
26	litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any	
27	proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in	

Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2:18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.

## Case 2:23-cv-02952-TLN-KJN Document 2 Filed 01/18/24 Page 2 of 2

13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of the Court to open a new case for each attempted new case pleading and assign it to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman. (*Id.* at ECF No. 26). If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (*Id.*)

The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, the complaints/petitions in Case Nos. 2:23-cv-02941, 2:23-cv-02942, 2:23-cv-02944, 2:23-cv-02952, 2:23-cv-02953, 2:23-cv-02954, 2:23-cv-02955, 2:23-cv-02956, 2:23-cv-02988 and 2:24-cv-00027 are hereby DISMISSED. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases. No further filings will be accepted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 18, 2024

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge

Plaintiff erroneously names the Yuba County Superior Court in the caption of Case No. 2:23-cv-2941, the El Dorado County Superior Court in the caption of Case No. 2:23-cv-2944, the Calaveras County Superior Court in the caption of Case No. 2:23-cv-2954, and the Lassen County Superior Court in the caption of Case No. 2:24-cv-00027. To the extent Plaintiff intended to file these complaints/petitions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, the Court finds that the claims raised therein are related to his Alameda County criminal conviction and are therefore dismissed pursuant to the modified vexatious litigant Order.